

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

USDC SDNY DOCUMENT ELECTRONICALLY FILED DOC #: DATE FILED: 03/24/2024
---

-----X  
:
   
SALMA BAHAA HUSSEIN, BRIAN NOONE, :
   
JORDAN MERRITT, JOEL ZAVALA, AND :
   
SANTIAGO ALJURE, *on behalf of themselves and* :
   
*others similarly situated,* :

24-cv-4658 (LJL)

Plaintiffs, :

ORDER

-v- :

THE HEADLESS WIDOW LLC d/b/a/ THE :
   
HEADLESS WIDOW, and EDIN CANOVIC a/k/a :
   
EDDIE CANOVIC. :

Defendants. :
   
:
   
-----X

LEWIS J. LIMAN, United States District Judge:

The parties in this matter have reached a settlement in principle. Dkt. No. 35. The case was brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.* Under Second Circuit law, any settlement—including any proposed attorney’s fee award—must be scrutinized by the Court to ensure that it is fair. *See Fisher v. SD Protection Inc.*, 948 F.3d 593, 600 (2d Cir. 2020); *Cheeks v. Freeport Pancake House, Inc.*, 796 F.3d 199 (2d Cir. 2015).

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that, on or before **April 11, 2025**, the parties must submit to the Court a joint letter explaining the basis for the proposed settlement and why it should be approved as fair and reasonable, with reference to the factors discussed in *Wolinsky v. Scholastic, Inc.*, 900 F. Supp. 2d 332, 335–36 (S.D.N.Y. 2012). The letter should address any confidentiality provisions, non-disparagement provisions, or releases in the proposed settlement agreement. The letter should also address, if applicable, any incentive payments to the plaintiff and any attorney’s fee award to plaintiff’s counsel (with documentation to support the latter, if appropriate) consistent with the principles set forth in *Fisher*, 948 F.3d at 600. It is not sufficient

to state the proportion of the requested attorney's fee to the overall settlement amount. Rather, the reasonableness of attorney's fees must be evaluated with reference to "adequate documentation supporting the attorneys' fees and costs," which "should normally [include] contemporaneous time records indicating, for each attorney, the date, the hours expended, and the nature of the work done." *Id.*; see *Strauss v. Little Fish Corp.*, 2020 WL 4041511, at \*9 (S.D.N.Y. July 17, 2020) (discussing the requirements for adequately justifying an attorney's fee). Failure to provide the appropriate or sufficient documentation could result in the Court rejecting the proposed fee award.

The parties are directed to appear telephonically for a settlement fairness hearing on **April 21, 2025, at 10:30 a.m.** Plaintiff's counsel should ensure that a client is present at the hearing, if necessary, with an interpreter. Parties are directed to dial in to the Court's teleconference line at 646-453-4442 and use the conference ID 358639322, followed by the pound (#) key.

Any pending motions are DISMISSED as moot, and all conferences and deadlines are CANCELLED, including trial and pretrial deadlines.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 24, 2025  
New York, New York



---

LEWIS J. LIMAN  
United States District Judge